

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/804,620	03/19/2004	Daniel George Bartoli	RIDM 2 00002	7854		
27885	7590 06/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR			FRANK, RODNEY T			
CLEVELAND		rlook	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			2856	2856		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. <u></u>		_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/804,620	BARTOLI ET AL.	Cino				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
;	Rodney T. Frank	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtyory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this comm  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
,	─────────────────────────────────────						
,—	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 7, and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,8,9 and 15</u> is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to long to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been received. Incuments have been received in A street the priority documents have been a Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	age				
Attachmont/c)							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	9-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spanke (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0109626). Spanke discloses the microwave measuring device, which preferably operates with microwave bursts, serves to produce a measured value (X.sub.H) representing the level of the contents of a vessel (200). It comprises a transceiver unit (2) for generating a leveldependent intermediate-frequency signal (ZF) by means of a transmit signal (S.sub.2) and a receive signal (E.sub.2), and a transducer element (1) which in operation couples waves (S.sub.1), particularly pulsed waves, into the vessel under control of the transmit signal (S.sub.2) and converts echo waves (E.sub.1) reflected from the contents (201) of the vessel into the receive signal (E.sub.2). The intermediate-frequency signal (ZF) is fed to a control unit (3) of the level measuring device where it is stored in the form of a sampling sequence (AF) in a volatile data memory (33). In this manner, both amplitude information and phase information is available for the level measurement. The device is thus capable of measuring level with high accuracy, particularly accurately to a millimeter, and very fast (Please see the abstract).

In reference to claim 1, a method for generating an echo profile (or envelop) in a time-of –flight/microwave ranging system comprising transmitting one or more burst of energy towards a surface (see the abstract and figure 1), receiving reflected pulse form the surface and converting the pulses into an echo profile/envelope, determining a receive time, (see paragraphs [0005] through [0010] whereby the reference discloses that this practice is well established in the art of echo ranging systems), the receive time utilizing an amplitude and a reference/measurement point (see paragraph [0082]), relative to said amplitude, and applying a correction factor (see paragraph [0094]). Though the exact method disclosed is not explicitly disclosed in the reference, the method and apparatus disclosed in Spanke has a similar scope to the present invention and performs in a similar manner while meeting the general limitations of the claimed invention. Therefore, the method of claim 1 would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of the Spanke disclosure.

In reference to claims 7 and 14, the level measurement device disclosed in the claims is a well known design for echo ranging systems with the addition of utilizing an amplitude of the echo pulses. As discussed above in reference to claim 14, since the overall scope of the claims is viewed as well known in the art of echo ranging systems and the amplitude consideration is disclosed, as discussed earlier., then the examiner believes that the general scope, in the broadest interpretation of claim 14, is disclosed in view of the Spanke reference with particular focus on paragraphs [0005] through [0010] whereby the reference discloses that this type of apparatus is well established in the art of echo ranging systems.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-13 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The method of generating an echo profile in a time-of-flight ranging system comprising steps of applying a time correction including determining a correction factor C<sub>r</sub> as defined in the claim, in combination with all other limitations of independent claim 10 is not disclosed nor deemed obvious in view of the prior art of record. The prior art does not disclose the specific correction factor, specifically utilizing the slope edge on an echo pulse.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claims 2-6, 8, 9, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The examiner has cited various references deemed relevant to the general state of the art of the present invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,620 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney T. Frank whose telephone number is (571) 272-2193. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RTF May 25, 2005

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800